

THREE WAYS PATIENTS WILL PAY THE PRICE



UNDERCUTS FDA'S ROLE ENSURING PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY

- Judges decide the science and what medications FDA can approve, instead of relying on FDA's expertise and oversight of medications and devices.
- Casts uncertainty over every FDA approval.
- Invites spurious lawsuits years or decades after approval.

DESTABILIZES INVESTMENT IN NEW MEDICATIONS, THERAPIES, AND DEVICES



HARMS PATIENT HEALTH AND ACCESS TO CARE

- Standards of care should be guided by scientific expertise, not dictated by judges which could result in:
 - Outdated dosing regimens = substandard care for patients.
 - Fewer drug approvals = less investment in drug development = fewer or more costly medications.
 - Lower commitment to public health = violation of patient and provider trust in medications.

- Frivolous ligation creates costly burdens and unnecessary risks for pharmaceutical companies.
- Less investment = less research and development = fewer life-saving medicines and therapies.

More highlights from amicus briefs filed by pharmaceutical companies, patient and provider and patient advocacy groups and former FDA commissioners available at emaaproject.org/briefs